

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIO	ANCE TRENDS OVER	4-YEAR PERIOD
--------------------------------------	------------------	---------------

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Excellent	N/A
2002	Below Average	Good	N/A
2003 2004	Below Average	Below Average	N/A

TENTH GRADE PASSA	GE OF ONE	or More	SUBTES	TS OF TH	E EXIT E	KAM
		Our Schoo	ıl	Hig Stud	h Schools w dents Like O	ith urs
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
Passed all 3 subtests	55.3	54.3	56.8	53.6	50.2	47.3
Passed 2 subtests	20.3	29.3	24.2	21.0	23.1	22.8
Passed 1 subtest	13.2	11.6	6.8	15.0	14.6	16.4
Passed no subtests	11.2	4.9	12.1	10.5	12.2	13.1

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS							
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003			Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate	
All Students	n 166	% 88.6	n 147	% 5.4	n 164	% 73.2	
Gender	100	00.0	147	3.4	104	13.2	
	00	88.8	69	7.2	70	65.4	
Male	80			=	78		
Female	86	88.4	78	3.8	86	80.2	
Race or Ethnic Group							
African American	154	88.3	142	4.9	154	74.7	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
White	12	91.7	5	20.0	10	50.0	
Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Disability Status							
Non-speech disabilities	13	84.6	12	0.0	27	3.7	
Students without disabilities	153	88.9	135	5.9	137	86.9	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-migrant	166	88.6	147	5.4	0	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-LEP	166	88.6	147	5.4	164	73.2	
Lunch Status							
Subsidized meals	123	86.2	108	4.6	134	65.7	
Full-pay meals	43	95.3	39	7.7	30	99.0	
n = number of students on which per	centage is calc	ulated					
ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE	SCHOL	ARSHIPS					

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	5.4	3.0
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	5.4	3.7
Seniors who met the grade point average	32.7	34.6

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Kingstree Senior High				4501008
SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 836)				
Retention rate Attendance rate	15.5% 96.1%	Up from 14.5% Up from 95.9%	7.5% 95.4%	7.3% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	3.9% 19.9%	Up from 2.7% Up from 19.2%	3.2% 14.9%	5.1% 12.2%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	20.1% 1.1%	Up from 19.8% Up from 0.6%	15.1% 1.8%	10.1% 2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	2.3% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.2% N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	1.0% 10.4%	Down from 1.7% Up from 5.7%	2.9% 3.8%	2.7% 3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology cente courses	r 343	Down from 346	294	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	18.7%	Up from 11.8%	23.4%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	73.7%	Down from 75.6%	71.9%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	100.0%	Up from 99.3%	98.3%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 54)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	46.3% 79.6%	Up from 45.1% Down from 80.4%	42.2% 79.0%	51.7% 81.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A 77.0%	N/A Down from 81.7%	N/A 82.1%	N/A 85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	94.4% \$38,320	Up from 94.3% Up 4.6%	95.1% \$39,247	95.8% \$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	12.2 days	Down from 13.6 days	11.5 days	10.3 days
School				

* D	rior voor	audited	financial	data	aro	roportod	

Parents attending conferences

Percent spent on teacher salaries*

Principal's years at school

Student-teacher ratio

Prime instructional time

Dollars spent per pupil*

Opportunities in the arts

SACS accreditation

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

N/A

2.0

26.6 to 1

90.0%

\$5,447

60.4%

Good

79.8%

no

Up from 1.0

Up from 89.0%

Up from 59.0%

Down from 80.2%

No change

Up 2.1%

Down from 28.9 to 1

2.0

22.2 to 1

88.0%

\$7,474

57.5%

Good

84.9%

yes

3.0

26.2 to 1

90.1%

\$6,279

57.8%

87.8%

yes

Excellent

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Kingstree Senior High School is located in Kingstree, a small, rural town in the lower Pee Dee area of South Carolina. We are working diligently to provide our students the support they need to achieve success.

We offer nutritional meals; a safe, clean learning environment; certified professionals, who are exemplary role models; a strong curriculum; dedicated leadership; committed youth leaders; an intervention specialist, a parenting coordinator; concerned counselors; school-to-work opportunities; the latest in technology; a versatile media center; and extracurricular activities to improve the mind, body, and spirit.

We have made great strides toward our goal of excellence in education. Our students have made consistent gains on state and national assessments. We have been recognized as a BEST school by the Foundation for Excellent Schools and a School of Promise Flagship School by the South Carolina Association of School Administrators. The South Carolina State Department of Education has also honored our school with the Palmetto Silver Award for continued academic improvement.

We continue to work toward involving our parents and community members to help our students achieve success beyond high school.

Sam W. Giles

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS					
	Teachers	Students	Parents		
Number of surveys returned	53	161	19		
Percent satisfied with learning environment	69.2%	46.9%	47.4%		
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	84.3%	51.6%	36.8%		
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	32.7%	69.4%	52.6%		

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.